MOTION TO COMPEL

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-3(c), Defendant and Counterclaimant SenoRx, Inc. ("SenoRx") opposes Plaintiffs Hologic, Inc., Cytyc Corp., and Hologic L.P.'s (collectively, "Hologic" or "Plaintiffs") Administrative Motion To Shorten Time on Plaintiffs' Motion To Compel Responses to Certain Interrogatories ("Motion to Compel").

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Plaintiffs ask the Court to shorten to 2 days the time SenoRx has to respond to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel responses to several contention interrogatories. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-3(a)(3), however, Plaintiffs must "[i]dentif[y] the substantial harm or prejudice that would occur if the Court did not change the time" for SenoRx to respond to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel. Plaintiffs cannot satisfy that standard for at least two reasons.

First, Plaintiffs are seeking Court intervention to require SenoRx to file its response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel two business days earlier than the date already agreed to by SenoRx. On Wednesday, March 20, SenoRx had agreed to file its response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel on Tuesday, March 25, 2008 – two business days after the deadline now proposed by Plaintiffs. Declaration of Natalie J. Morgan ("Morgan Decl.") at ¶3. To further expedite the Court's consideration of the Motion to Compel, SenoRx agreed to "dispens[e] with the requirement of a hearing if Hologic were willing to waive the filing of a reply, which Hologic is." Docket # 46 at 4. Consequently, Civil Local Rule 6-3(a)(3) requires Plaintiffs to identify the "substantial harm or prejudice that would occur" if SenoRx files its response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel on Tuesday, March 25, 2008 rather than on Friday, March 21, 2008. *Id.* As to that narrow issue, Plaintiffs do not – and cannot – demonstrate they will be substantially harmed or prejudiced before the April 21, 2008 preliminary injunction hearing.²

large of the second of the sec

² Plaintiffs' argument that they "must have SenoRx's answers to its Interrogatories" by Monday, March 24 or Tuesday, March 25, 2008 for a 30(b)(6) deposition is a moot point. The 30(b)(6) deposition cannot take place on either of these dates. The first available date for the 30(b)(6) deposition to proceed is April 3rd.

1

2

3

5

27

28

111

///

Second, a time limit of only two days does not provide SenoRx with sufficient time to meaningfully respond to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel. See, e.g., S.E.C. v. Bilzerian, NO. CIV. 89-1854(RCL), 2001 WL 1801158, at *1 (D.D.C. Jun. 15, 2001) (denying request that SEC and be given only two days to respond to motion for immediate release). Plaintiffs seeks to compel 4 responses to contention interrogatories seeking, inter alia, (a) the basis for any contention that claim 1 of the '142 patent or claim 36 of the 6 204 is invalid or unenforceable (including, but not limited to, an element-byelement comparison of any allegedly invalidating prior art to each asserted claim); 7 (b) the basis for any contention that SenoRx does not infringe claim 1 of the '142 patent or claim 36 of the '204 (including, but not limited to, an element-by-8 element comparison of how the Conturn differs from each asserted claim); (c) an identification of all documents upon which SenoRx intends to rely to 9 support these contentions; and (d) an identification of all persons with knowledge concerning these contentions. 10 11 Docket # 44 at 4. 12 In deciding Plaintiffs' motion, SenoRx will have to brief, and the Court will likely have to 13 decide a number of issues, including (1) whether Plaintiffs' contention interrogatories are 14 premature, seek impermissible legal conclusions, invade work-product protections, or are 15 otherwise objectionable (See Docket # 44 at 6; Morgan Decl. at ¶4) and (2) whether a protective 16 order is necessary to preserve objections to contention interrogatories. See id. SenoRx believes 17 this second issue may raise a matter of first impression for this Court. Consequently, SenoRx 18 respectfully requests more than two days to address these issues, especially where, as here, there 19 will be no oral argument on Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel. 20 111 21 /// 22 /// 23 111 24 /// 25 111 26 111

	Case 5:08-cv-00133-RMW Document 49 Filed 03/20/2008 Page 4 of 6						
1	CONCLUSION						
2	For the foregoing reasons, SenoRx respectfully requests that this Court deny Plaintiffs'						
3	Motion to Shorten Time on Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Responses to Certain Interrogatories.						
4	Dated: March 20, 2008						
5	Respectfully submitted,						
6							
7	By:s/F.T. Alexandra Mahaney						
8	F.T. Alexandra Mahaney, State Bar No. 125984						
9	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation						
10	12235 El Camino Real, Suite 200 San Diego, CA 92130						
11	Telephone: (858) 350-2300 Facsimile: (858) 350-2399						
12	Email: amahaney@wsgr.com						
13	Bruce R. Genderson (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Aaron P. Maurer (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)						
14	Rachel Shanahan Rodman (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Adam D. Harber (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)						
15	WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP 725 Twelfth St. NW						
16	Washington, DC 20005						
17	Telephone: (202) 434-5000 Facsimile: (202) 434-5029						
18	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant						
19	SENORX, INC.						
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
	SENORX, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' -3- CASE NO. C-08-0133 RMW (RS)						

SENORX, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SHORTEN TIME RE MOTION TO COMPEL

Case 5:08-cv-00133-RMW Document 49 Filed 03/20/2008 Page 5 of 6 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, Hologic, Inc. et al. v. SenoRx, Inc. Case No. C-08-0133 RMW I. Kirsten Blue, declare: I am and was at the time of the service mentioned in this declaration, employed in the County of San Diego, California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 12235 El Camino Real, Ste. 200, San Diego, CA, 92130. On March 20, 2008, I served a copy(ies) of the following document(s): DEFENDANT AND COUNTERCLAIMANT SENORX, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SHORTEN TIME ON PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL RESPONSES TO CERTAIN INTERROGATORIES on the parties to this action by placing them in a sealed envelope(s) addressed as follows: Henry C. Su (suh@howrey.com) Attorneys for Plaintiffs Katharine L. Altemus (altemusk@howrey.com) HOLOĞIC, INC. CYTYC CORPORATION and **HOWREY LLP** HOLOGIC LP 1950 University Avenue, 4th Floor East Palo Alto, CA 94303 Telephone: (650) 798-3500 Facsimile: (650) 798-3600 Matthew Wolf (wolfm@howrey.com) Attorneys for Plaintiffs Marc Cohn (cohnm@howrey.com) HOLOĞIC, INC. CYTYC CORPORATION and **HOWREY LLP** 1229 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW HOLOGIC LP Washington, DC 20004 Telephone: (202) 783-0800 Facsimile: (202) 383-6610 (BY MAIL) I placed the sealed envelope(s) for collection and mailing by following the ordinary business practices of Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, 12235 El Camino Real, Ste. 200, San Diego, CA. I am readily familiar with WSGR's practice for collecting and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service, said practice being that, in the ordinary course of business, correspondence with postage fully prepaid is deposited with the United States Postal Service the same day as it is placed for collection. (BY ELECTRONIC MAIL) I caused such document(s) to be sent via electronic mail (email) to the above listed names and email addresses. (BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I caused to be delivered by hand to the addressee(s) noted above. I delivered to an authorized courier or driver to be delivered on the same date. A proof of service signed by the authorized courier will be filed with the court upon request. (BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY) I placed the sealed envelope(s) or package(s), to the

addressee(s) noted above, designated by the express service carrier for collection and overnight delivery by following the ordinary business practices of Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, 12235 El Camino Real, Ste. 200, San Diego, CA. I am readily familiar with WSGR's practice for collecting and processing of correspondence for

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	Case	5:08-cv-00133-RMW	Document 49	Filed 03/20/2008	Page 6 of 6			
1 2 3	overnight delivery, said practice being that, in the ordinary course of business, correspondence for overnight delivery is deposited with delivery fees paid or provided for at the carrier's express service offices for next-day delivery the same day as the correspondence is placed for collection. (BY FACSIMILE) I caused to be transmitted by facsimile machine (number of sending							
4 5	facsimile machine is (858) 350-2399 at the time stated on the attached transmission report(s) by sending the documents(s) to (see above). The facsimile transmission(s) was/were reported as complete and without error.							
6		(BY CM/ECF) I caused Management/Electronic District of California.	l such document(s) Case File system	to be sent via electrowith the U.S. District	nic mail through the Case Court for the Northern			
8	and c	I declare under penalty orrect, and that this declar			States that the above is true			
9				1 Alan				
10				Kirsten Blue	e			
11								
12								
13				•				
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								
-								
			-2	-				
	CERT	IFICATE OF SERVICE			CASE NO. C-08-0133 RMW			

MOTION TO SHORTEN TIME RE MOTION TO COMPEL

	Case 5:08-cv-00133-RMV	Document 49-2	Filed 03/20/2008	Page 2 of 4			
1	I, Natalie J. Morgan, declare:						
2	1. I am an attorney admitted to practice law in California, and am admitted before						
3	this court in the above-entitled action. I am an associate of the law firm Wilson, Sonsini,						
4	Goodrich & Rosati, attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaimant SenoRx, Inc.						
5	2. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein, and if called upon to testify						
6	thereto as a witness, I could and would do so.						
7	3. On Wednesday, March 20, 2008, SenoRx agreed to file its opposition to						
8	Plaintiffs' anticipated motion to compel on Tuesday, March 25, 2008. I conveyed this agreemen						
9	early Wednesday morning to counsel for Plaintiffs.						
10	4. Plaintiffs' interrogatories were objectionable on a number of grounds including,						
11	but not limited to, that they are premature, seek impermissible legal conclusions, and invade						
12	work-product protections. These objections would each need to be resolved.						
13	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the						
14	foregoing is true and correct.						
15	Executed this 20th day of March, 2008, at San Diego, California.						
16							
17	s/Natalie J. Morgan						
18	Natalie J. Morgan						
19							
20							
21							
22							

28

23

24

25

26

27

Case 5:08-cv-00133-RMW Document 49-2 Filed 03/20/2008 Page 3 of 4 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, Hologic, Inc. et al. v. SenoRx, Inc. Case No. C-08-0133 RMW I, Kirsten Blue, declare: I am and was at the time of the service mentioned in this declaration, employed in the County of San Diego, California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 12235 El Camino Real, Ste. 200, San Diego, CA, 92130. On March 20, 2008, I served a copy(ies) of the following document(s): DECLARATION OF NATALIE J. MORGAN IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT AND COUNTERCLAIMANT SENORX, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SHORTEN TIME ON PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO **COMPEL RESPONSES TO CERTAIN INTERROGATORIES** on the parties to this action by placing them in a sealed envelope(s) addressed as follows: Henry C. Su (suh@howrey.com) Attorneys for Plaintiffs HOLOĞIC, INC. CYTYC Katharine L. Altemus (altemusk@howrey.com) **HOWREY LLP** CORPORATION and 1950 University Avenue, 4th Floor **HOLOGIC LP** East Palo Alto, CA 94303 Telephone: (650) 798-3500 Facsimile: (650) 798-3600 Matthew Wolf (wolfm@howrey.com) Attorneys for Plaintiffs Marc Cohn (cohnm@howrey.com) HOLOGIC, INC. CYTYC CORPORATION and **HOWREY LLP** 1229 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW **HOLOGIC LP** Washington, DC 20004 Telephone: (202) 783-0800 Facsimile: (202) 383-6610 (BY MAIL) I placed the sealed envelope(s) for collection and mailing by following the ordinary business practices of Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, 12235 El Camino Real, Ste. 200, San Diego, CA. I am readily familiar with WSGR's practice for collecting and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service, said practice being that, in the ordinary course of business, correspondence with postage fully 22 prepaid is deposited with the United States Postal Service the same day as it is placed for collection. (BY ELECTRONIC MAIL) I caused such document(s) to be sent via electronic mail M (email) to the above listed names and email addresses. (BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I caused to be delivered by hand to the addressee(s) noted above. I delivered to an authorized courier or driver to be delivered on the same date. A proof of service signed by the authorized courier will be filed with the court upon 26 request. (BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY) I placed the sealed envelope(s) or package(s), to the addressee(s) noted above, designated by the express service carrier for collection and 28 overnight delivery by following the ordinary business practices of Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, 12235 El Camino Real, Ste. 200, San Diego, CA. I am readily

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

27

	Case :	5:08-cv-00133-RMW	Document 49-2	Filed 03/20/2008	Page 4 of 4		
1 2	familiar with WSGR's practice for collecting and processing of correspondence for overnight delivery, said practice being that, in the ordinary course of business, correspondence for overnight delivery is deposited with delivery fees paid or provided for at the carrier's express service offices for next-day delivery the same day as the						
3		correspondence is place		xi-day delivery the sa	une day as the		
4		(BY FACSIMILE) I ca facsimile machine is (85)					
5	facsimile machine is (858) 350-2399 at the time stated on the attached transmission report(s) by sending the documents(s) to (see above). The facsimile transmission(s) was/were reported as complete and without error.						
6.		(BY CM/ECF) I caused such document(s) to be sent via electronic mail through the Case					
7	Management/Électronic Case File system with the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California.						
8	1	I declare under penalty	of perjury under the	e laws of the United S	tates that the above is true		
9	and co	orrect, and that this decla	ration was executed	on March 20, 2008.			
10				Whe			
11				Kirsten Blue			
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
			-2-				
	CERT	IFICATE OF SERVICE	-2-		CASE NO. C-08-0133 RMW		